

## **The Agreement On Textiles And Clothing (ATC) Between India And China During WTO**

**Manish Kumar**

**Research Scholar**

**P.G. Department Of Commerce**

**Magadh University**

**Bodh Gaya**

**(Received:20March2023/Revised:10April2023/Accepted:20April2023/Published:27April2023)**

### **Abstract**

The global economic slowdown, growing protectionism, stalled mega-trade deals that may one day be revived, and perhaps more importantly, India's own domestic concerns all present significant challenges to its trade policy. India's government and industry, particularly the manufacturing sector, must anticipate opportunities and increase their involvement in an evolving multilateral trade arena if it is to achieve its policy goals. Adhering to international standards and assisting the World Trade Organization (WTO) in reviving multilateral negotiations should be India's top priorities.

After China joined the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 2000, its exports of textiles experienced a significant increase; More than a third of the United States' total imports of textiles come from China and India at the moment. In the import trade of clothing and textile raw materials, China and India both have advantages that are comparable to one another. In contrast, China's rise in per capita income from \$873 in 1999 to \$8,027 in 2015 has benefited hundreds of millions of its citizens. This remarkable change was made possible by the strength of China's manufacturing sector and favorable global trade policy. When China joined the World Trade Organization in 1995, it had approximately \$149 billion in exports and a trade surplus of nearly \$20 billion. By 2014, China's products had ascended to \$2.3 trillion, with an excess of \$382 billion. The achievement of the United Nations Millennium Development Goal of halving global poverty by 2015 was made possible by China's economic expansion, which was responsible for more than three-quarters of the reduction in poverty worldwide.

**Keywords: Textile, Environmental Standard, 100 Oeko-Tex Standard, China, India**

### **Introduction**

Goh Chok Tong, a former prime minister of Singapore, claims that India currently has the potential to resuscitate a global economy that is stagnant, much like China did ten years ago.<sup>1</sup> However, given that India faces enormous developmental obstacles, is this a realistic assessment? With 1.3 billion individuals and a \$2 trillion economy,<sup>2</sup> the nation has more needy individuals than those in every one of the sub-Saharan nations put together.<sup>3</sup> Somewhere in the range of 1991 and 2013, India's economy created just 140 million positions — a small part of the in excess of 300 million required;<sup>4</sup> and keeping in mind that 1 million individuals are right now entering the labor force each month,<sup>5</sup> the presence of 17.7 million jobless individuals is a delayed bomb no administration can ignore.<sup>6</sup> In examination, China has lifted countless its residents out of destitution, raising per capita pay from \$873 in 1999 to \$8,027 in 2015.<sup>7</sup> The strength of China's assembling area and a good worldwide exchange strategy setting made this wonderful change conceivable. When China joined the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1995, its exports were approximately \$149 billion, and it had a trade surplus of nearly \$20 billion. By 2014, China's exports had increased to \$2.3 trillion, and it had a surplus of \$382 billion. China's economic growth was responsible for more than three-quarters of the reduction in global poverty, which enabled the world to achieve the United Nations Millennium Development Goal of halving global poverty by 2015.<sup>12</sup> Is India capable of accomplishing similar feats? Is it possible for its manufacturing sector to become a major employer and exporter of goods? Is it able to make the most of the opportunities presented by the global trading architecture, which is constantly changing? Even though both the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) and the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) have ended, this changing architecture is not limited to them. There are currently or are likely to be regional and subregional free trade agreements (FTAs). India will need to overcome significant trade policy obstacles to get the most out of these opportunities: homegrown issues; the changing situation in the world; as well as the necessity of a favorable trade policy agenda, which may be the only viable means by which India can improve its economic relationship with major trading partners. As the world's largest economy, the United States will continue to set the agenda for global trade policy, and the United States will have to rethink some of its trade policy goals in light of recent developments.

Despite these, the United States will continue to set the trade agenda even if the WTO loses its leadership. India may be able to take advantage of that void if it acts prudently and intrepidly.

At the point when the Settlement on Materials and Apparel was arrived at in the Uruguay Round of General Settlement on Taxes and Exchange (GATT) discussions on 31 December 2004, standards on worldwide exchange material unrefined substances and dress became history. Every nation and region that exports textiles now hopes to gain as much market share as possible before the quota is eliminated because the agreement will be implemented gradually [1]. Trade in clothing and textiles was officially incorporated into the WTO's free trade framework on January 1, 2005 [2]. It has made it very easy for China and India, two of the biggest exporters of clothing and textiles, to use their comparative advantages to expand both their exports of clothing and textiles and their shares in the international market [3]. There has been a lot of research done on textile trade at the sector and aggregate levels. However, few studies have looked at the United States' imports from China or India from the perspective of three textile-related products after 2000, when China's exports of textiles increased significantly. The United States (U.S.) has strengthened the protection of its textile industry while simultaneously attracting all major textile-exporting nations as the world's most important market for textile imports. In order to investigate the impact that China and India have on U.S. textile imports from the international market, we would like to conduct an investigation into three distinct categories of international imports of textile-related goods into the United States in relation to China and India, respectively, under green trade barriers.

Overproduction of textiles is typically a problem in developing nations, as the growth of the textile industry can significantly boost employment rates and encourage the growth of related industries [3]. China and India both see the material business as their significant industry for the advancement of the public economy [4], and the U.S. has turned into the fundamental objective market for the worldwide rivalry between these two significant material exporters [5]. Despite the immense size of China's textile industry, domestic demand remains inadequate; the commodity portion of its creation is a high extent of its complete exchange [5]. Keeping up with the consistent development of China's material and piece of clothing products will stay one of the vital variables for keeping up with the solid, stable, and economical improvement of China's material industry and guaranteeing the consistent development of China's economy for some significant time [6]. For China and other developing nations to develop their textile trade strategies for the future, it is very important to analyze the competitiveness of China and India in

the U.S. textile, raw materials, and clothing market, as well as their impact on U.S. imports from the world in the context of green trade barriers.

The scramble and competition of the international market has increasingly become the focus of various nations all over the world in light of the ongoing effects of the financial crisis and the accelerating pace of reindustrialization in developed nations [7,8]. Specialized obstructions to exchange (TBT) have progressively supplanted such conventional hindrances as levies, licenses, and quantities; They have also become increasingly systematized, complicated, dispersed, and covert, making them the primary instrument for international trade protection measures. TBTs have protected the healthy and harmonious development of people and the environment, both from the perspective of sustainable development [4]. They have also significantly and positively facilitated the advancement of science and technology. Each process becomes less and less distinct from the utilization of chemicals as the contemporary textile industry gradually transforms into a typical chemical processing industry. Simultaneously, the far reaching utilization of synthetic substances without a natural wellbeing evaluation has caused broad concern from one side of the planet to the other. Due to the popularity of technical trade barriers, the ecological safety of textiles has recently become a hot topic [9].

Green trade barriers (GTBs), also known as environmental trade barriers (ETBs), are a brand-new type of technical trade barrier that are widely used in developed nations [1]. Nations that carry out ETBs increase their own supportable turn of events and security of the common habitat, biology, and human wellbeing, and all the while expand brutal ecological insurance frameworks and principles for their imports. China's exports of clothing and textiles have frequently encountered green trade barriers in developed nations in recent years. Environmental and ecological issues are receiving increased attention from the public. The trade in clothing and textiles has been influenced by new ideas like "green products" and "ecological textiles." The production of clothing and textiles that are chemically clean, as well as the safety of wearers and users, are now subject to higher standards in developed nations. Major importers like Japan, the European Union, and the United States all follow this trend and have enacted a number of relevant laws, regulations, and environmental standards to protect the environment and quarantine imported clothing and textiles [6]. There are currently dozens of global green ecological textile standards. The Oeko-Tex Standard 100, also known as the eco-textile standard 100, is the most influential, widely used, authoritative, and stringent green eco-textile standard.

The International Environmental Protection Textile Association developed and published this standard in 1992 to test for harmful residues in textiles based on ecological research on textiles. Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) alkalinity, residues of soluble heavy metal pesticides, preservatives, and fungicides, including organic chlorine carriers and other harmful PCBs, are the subjects of its standards' testing. Through international environmental labels (Oeko-Labels), the standard regulates the capacity of textiles and clothing in the United States to protect the environment.

The Oeko-Tex Standard 100 has sparked a green trend in international trade and posed a significant challenge to China, the largest textile exporter, by allowing more textile and apparel products to be traded in the European and American markets. To stay up with the most recent global innovation and norms and separate the "green post", China has slowly developed a better standard framework for testing biological materials that depends on the Oeko-Tex Standard 100, and created it from a solitary norm to a quality license standard framework that meets the necessities of worldwide natural material testing.

### **The Indian Conundrum**

The best test to the improvement of a solid exchange strategy India is its inadequately evolved producing area. Manufacturing's share of GDP has dropped from 16.4% in 1989–1990 to 16.2% in 2015–2016, despite its growth following India's focus on economic liberalization in 1991.<sup>13</sup> There are no easy answers to the difficult question of how to significantly increase manufacturing's share of GDP. Requirements incorporate the restricted accessibility of force and land, absence of admittance to innovation, low efficiency, the increasing expense of work, and hardships carrying on with work. Although there has been progress, it has not been sufficient. The scarcity of land is by far the most significant obstacle to the revival of the manufacturing sector. India has entered into free trade agreements (FTAs) with Japan, Malaysia, the Republic of Korea, ASEAN, and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN). India's experience with regional trade agreements (RTAs) has been less than satisfactory due to the lack of competitiveness of its manufacturing sector and the lack of innovation and investment in sectors such as textiles, garments, and pharmaceuticals. However, some insiders acknowledge that India's trade partners have gained more from these agreements than India has.<sup>14</sup> Within the government, think tanks, and the trade policy community, there has been little enthusiasm for taking a more activist stance on trade policy as a result. Questions about the allure of worldwide

economic alliance develop further when worldwide worries over migration make different nations reject India's interest for the more liberated development of exports (Mode 4 of the Overall Settlement on Exchange Administrations [GATS]). India could reap immeasurable benefits by joining RTAs, despite these difficulties and doubts. Most importantly, it would force Indian industry to conform to international standards regarding technical trade barriers and sanitary and phytosanitary restrictions, which would increase the country's competitiveness in the long run. In India, as in a number of other nations, policy paralysis, a lack of political will, and a lack of full comprehension of the advantages of trade liberalization are the real obstacles. Creating an effective exchange strategy requires a comprehension of international relations and worldwide monetary patterns and the capacity to haggle to advantage. When decision-makers lack the confidence and ability to carry out the necessary domestic reforms—some of which necessitate painful adjustments—effective negotiation is impossible. India has not paid enough attention to the importance of trade policy over time. For instance, it was abundantly clear in 1996 that the modernization of India's domestic industry was crucial in light of the fact that the end of quotas under the Multi-Fiber Arrangement in 2005 would benefit exporting developing nations that were more competitive. Numerous strategy activities the Bharatiya Janata Party government embraced in 2016, for example, the improved obligation downside conspire, had been proposed in 1996. In 1996, the necessary steps were unable to be taken due to policy paralysis, unwillingness, or perhaps even complete ignorance. India's share of global trade in textiles and clothing would have increased significantly if timely action had been taken. Instead, the biggest winners from the end of the quota system were Bangladesh, China, and Vietnam. India cannot serve its economic interests by remaining indifferent to or completely resisting global trade policy developments and the mega-trading blocs that may develop. China's share of global exports increased from 10 percent in 2000 to 36 percent in textiles and from 18 percent to 39 percent in garments between 2000 and 2014.<sup>15</sup> "In the long run, no major economy can remain uninfluenced by them because the discriminatory rules regime will have consequences on trade with even nonmember economies," states Professor Biswajit Dhar of Jawaharlal Nehru University. As a result, India's trade policy faces the primary challenge of reviving the WTO-anchored multilateral trading system. India may want to discourage trade liberalization through only RTAs and FTAs and encourage shifts back to the WTO. India's trade policy needs to be bold and creative in order to bring about such a change. Within the WTO, it ought to initiate

extensive and in-depth discussions among trading nations. It should make concrete proposals to start negotiations in the WTO based on the results of the consultations, putting the onus on developed nations to respond to India's proposals. Agriculture, Mode 4 of the GATS, some plurilateral agreements like the Information Technology Agreement (ITA2), government procurement, and dispute resolution may all need to be addressed in proposals. India is interested in most-favored-nation (MFN) trade as well as the safety and predictability of the WTO-anchored multilateral trading system. In order to ensure both, India must not be seen as a threat in Geneva at the moment.

### **Literature Review**

Numerous authors have expressed a great deal of interest in the topic of the World Trade Organization's impact on Indian industry and the liberalization policy. Ahluwalia investigated the expansion of manufacturing sector productivity in India. She presumed that the defensive effect of import replacement rules any market extending influence on efficiency development. Subramanian M.S recommended that for the better exhibition of material industry different advances ought to be taken like expertise improvement material work, genial modern relations and reception of condition of-craftsmanship innovation. Das has observed that when a nation is open, domestic technology improves; assists the creation with handling turned out to be more proficient and comes full circle in efficiency enhancements. Chandra kept that the patterns in global material exchange will undoubtedly change considerably after the expiry of MFA system. The textile policies that will benefit the most will improve the capability of the textile industry. Goldar and Anita Kumari concentrated on that underutilization of modern limit was a significant reason for the efficiency lull. Arvind Panagariya saw that advancement was presented way back in 1980s and it began in vitalizing development however the development was ostensible and was not entirely reasonable. In the 1990s, more methodical reforms led to more long-term growth. Rani and Unni have demonstrated that organized manufacturing value added increased by 8.25 percent between 1989 and 1995, compared to 7.20 percent between 1984 and 1990 and 6.94 percent between 1994 and 2000. According to the analysis, economic reform policies have distinct effects on various industry groups. The Indian textile industry was emerging from a prolonged state of dormancy, according to Shanthi Venkataraman. The industry has taken a long time to get its act together due to overcapacity, outdated technology, labor issues, and debt levels that strained profits. Vijay Venkataswamy made the observation that the Indian textile industry,

which has been around for more than 5000 years, is about to make history. Indian textiles were reduced to glorified converters by their colonial masters' industrialization, but the rule-based trading represented by the World Trade Organization is expected to propel them to the status of a global clothier, currently only behind China. The created nations put reciprocal portion on imports of material and article of clothing under the Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA) of 1974 eliminating the limitations from General Settlements on Duty and Exchange (GATT). Local producers were given protection under this system so that they could compete with cheaper imports. The implementation of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC) and the further removal of the MFA from January 1, 2005 were both agreed upon during the Uruguay Round. Trade in clothing and textiles will no longer be subject to quotas because the MFA was completely phased out (Hashim 10). Seshaiyah and other, observed that productivity increased more rapidly prior to liberalization than after. During the course of the research, he discovered that one of the factors that had an impact on productivity was the extremely low and sometimes negative entrepreneurial skill ratios. According to Bhandari and Maiti's findings, based on the size and age of the company, individual technical efficiencies vary. They also looked at the technical efficiency, which has been anywhere from 68 to 84% on average. In addition, it was discovered that public sector businesses were inefficient after liberalization. Kaur asserted that the reforms provided a brand-new opportunity for development and growth. The general development rates not just expanded by changed strategies embraced beginning around 1991-92 yet unfamiliar financial backers likewise created trust in the Indian business. After the Multi-Fiber Agreement (MFA) eliminated the quota system, Chaudhary et al. looked at the Indian fiber market. Under MFA, the removal quota system's effect was investigated. The outcomes shows the expansion in cotton imports and diminishing in product of man-made fiber with the progression in limitations in created nations. The increased cotton imports from India had no effect on global cotton prices. After the removal of the Multi-Fiber Agreement (MFA) in 2005, Sasidaran and Shanmugam conducted research on the effectiveness of textile-industry businesses to determine the impact of international textile trade. The study's findings point to inefficiency in the inputs, which contributed to a decline in average efficiency over time. They also inferred that Indian textile companies were unable to increase the efficiency of their inputs after the liberalization opportunity; if they had been able to do so, they would have faced competition from other international players like China. In order to determine the effects of the new textile



policy (2000) and the post-MFA regime, Anbumani et al. looked at the efficiency of the Indian textile industry in terms of technical parameters from 2000 to 2007. Between the years 2000 and 2007, they discovered a decline in technical parameter efficiency. implying that the Indian textile industry's technical efficiency was negatively affected by the new textile policy. Kannan and Raveendran called attention to that, during the postreform period all the assembling enterprises have done well as far as result development, a significant number of them flourishing with twofold digit development rates. Kasi has shared outcome on the impact of progression on development efficiency and intensity of the material area. He said that the industry had a good overall growth rate of 6.13 percent. According to Tahir and Mughal, India's textile industry has flourished due to a variety of factors, including the availability of diverse varieties of cotton fiber, a large trade opportunity both domestically and internationally, abundant cheap raw materials, and inexpensive skilled labor. India has emerged as a major player in the textile industry as a result of these factors.

The Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC) was a transitional agreement with a four-stage integration plan that lasted ten years, from January 1995 to December 2004. It supplanted the Multi-Fiber Plan (MFA), which started in 1974 and gave the premise on which numerous modern nations, through reciprocal arrangements or one-sided activities, laid out shares on imports of materials and attire from additional serious, non-industrial nations. The MFA came to an end when the new ATC came into effect. Since January 1, 1995, the ATC had gradually integrated textile and clothing products and made them subject to world trade rules. Abolition of MFA does present exporting nations, particularly those in Southeast and South Asia, with excellent opportunities to boost demand for fibers and expand exports of clothing and textiles. Due to the fact that South Asian nations were among the producers with the lowest costs and were severely constrained by the quotas, it is anticipated that they will benefit greatly from the phasing out of the MFA. Rao has observed that seven years after quotas were lifted, the global textile industry is still undergoing a paradigm shift in production and consumption centers due to importing nations delaying the process of liberalizing the "most sensitive" products until the final stage (January 2005), Vietnam's recent entry into the WTO, and China's continued quantitative restrictions in the two largest markets, the European Union (EU) and the United States, long after the final integration. Since January 2008, the EU had lifted its restrictions on China, and the USA had also lifted theirs since January 2009. With unlimited supplies of materials from China

into these two significant business sectors, the speed of changes would support extreme contest among the Asian providers. The data on exports of yarn, fabric, and garment-made products, among other things, show the impact of MFA elimination completely. A comprehensive picture of the export trends prior to and following MFA (i.e., the cutoff date of January 1, 2005) is provided by the tables that follow and the figures' graphs that correspond to them.

### **India's Past And Present Approaches To Trade Agreements**

The United States of America exerted a determined effort in 1982 to include service trade in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), which was essentially a legal framework for the imposition of border measures (tariff and nontariff) and trade in goods. It's important to recall a conversation that took place at the 1982 GATT Ministerial Meeting between Indian minister of state for commerce Shivraj Patil and US trade representative William Brock. Andrew Stoler, who later served as a deputy director-general at the WTO, and Michael Smith, the United States ambassador to the GATT, represented the country. The late Abid Hussein, who served as India's commerce secretary at the time, B. L. Das, India's ambassador to the GATT, and the author of this paper were on the Indian side. Brock inquired, "Mr. Minister, what is India's position on services?" after a brief greeting exchange. Patil declared, "Nonnegotiable." All things considered," answered Brock, "I don't have the foggiest idea why I ought to burn through your time and mine." That was Indira Gandhi's India. The ministerial decision was diluted as a result of India's firm position. It is debatable whether India made the right decision at the time given that services now account for nearly 57% of the country's GDP. Conversely, at the 2015 WTO Ecclesiastical Gathering in Nairobi, the Indian agent endured the thoughts of the select gathering, assented in the development of the bundle result, and afterward communicated frustration after the outcomes were gaveled. Consensus is the basis upon which the WTO operates. Any nation can impede the result on the off chance that it considers it unacceptable.<sup>17</sup> Progressive rounds of exchange progression under the GATT and the WTO have brought about the bringing down of MFN taxes, despite the fact that there is as yet a huge differential between the bound and viable rates. In 1990-1991, for example, the most noteworthy Indian duty remained at 355%, and the weighted typical tax remained at 87 percent.<sup>18</sup> By 1996-1997, these levies had tumbled to 52 percent and 22 percent, separately. Trade expands when tariffs are reduced, quantitative restrictions are lifted, and other nontariff barriers are removed. However, these measures cannot prevent manufacturing facilities from moving to areas with tax regimes that are more appealing

or from seeking larger markets offshore. For instance, new investors in Vietnam can take advantage of twenty-year tax breaks. Impetuses and what the Chinese have had the option to achieve comprise a significant exchange strategy challenge, for India as well as for a few different nations too, including the US.

## **Conclusion**

China and India have a lot in common. In the beginning, neither paid much attention to international trade and instead focused on internal import substitution strategies. During that period, China's unfamiliar exchange strategy was more controlled than that of India's. As a result, both suffered due to technological lag and inefficiency in production. In 1978, China implemented its "open door" policy, and in 1991, India implemented liberalization policies much later. With an emphasis on export expansion and attracting foreign direct investment, China's economy expanded significantly faster. India also calibrated its approach in this way. China underwent domestic economic reform with the intention of joining the World Trade Organization (WTO). China's accession to the World Trade Organization led to unprecedented trade liberalization. China's unfamiliar market access improved its commodity development to an incredible level. It now surpasses the United States as the largest exporter. China's exports also increased, but not as rapidly as India's. The nature and substance of the trade instruments used by both nations differ. China's product cutthroat power is deeply grounded in the worldwide market, while India is yet to get to that level.

## **References**

- [1].Putri, R.W., Putri, Y.M., Muhammad, M. and Tristyanto, T., 2022. The Legal Protection Towards Traditional Clothes: Intellectual Property Regimes in ASEAN. Substantive Justice International Journal of Law, 5(1), pp.49-68.
- [2].Royhan, P., 2022. Environmental requirements in international trade under the World Trade Organization: market access implications for Bangladesh (Doctoral dissertation, Macquarie University).
- [3].Mai, N.C., 2022. Comparative Analysis of Trade Competitiveness between Bangladesh and Vietnam: Lessons for Bangladesh.
- [4].Ianchovichina, E. and Martin, W., 2006. Trade impacts of China's world trade organization accession. Asian Economic Policy Review, 1(1), pp.45-65.

- [5].Langford, N.J., Nadvi, K. and Braun-Munzinger, C., 2022. The shaping of ‘Southern’ sustainability standards in a value chain world: comparative evidence from China and India. *Review of International Political Economy*, pp.1-26.
- [6].Balasubramanyam, V.N. and Wei, Y., 2005. Textiles and clothing exports from India and China: a comparative analysis. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies*, 3(1), pp.23-37.
- [7].Shelton, R.K. and Wachter, K., 2005. Effects of global sourcing on textiles and apparel. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*, 9(3), pp.318-329.
- [8].Scott, J., 2017. The future of agricultural trade governance in the World Trade Organization. *International Affairs*.
- [9].Aggarwal, V.K. and Reddie, A.W., 2021. Economic statecraft in the 21st century: Implications for the future of the global trade regime. *World Trade Review*, 20(2), pp.137-151.
- [10]. Kersan-Škabić, I., 2022. The COVID-19 pandemic and the internationalization of production: A review of the literature. *Development Policy Review*, 40(2), p.e12560.